

United States District Court, Eastern District of Washington
Magistrate Judge James A. Goeke
Spokane

**USA v. JASON CARNELL
O'DAFFER**

Case No. 2:22-CR-147-MKD-1

Initial Appearance and Arraignment on Indictment:

04/17/2023

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Melissa Orosco, Courtroom Deputy | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Dan Fruchter, US Atty |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shawn Kennicutt, US Probation / Pretrial Services Officer | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Steve Roberts, Defense Atty |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant present <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> in custody USM | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interpreter NOT REQUIRED |
-
- | | |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> USA Motion for Detention | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rights given |
| <input type="checkbox"/> USA not seeking detention | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgment of Rights filed |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Financial Affidavit (CJA 23) filed | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant received copy of Indictment |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Court will appoint the Federal Defenders | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Defendant waived reading of Indictment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Based upon conflict with Federal Defenders, the Court will appoint a CJA Panel Attorney | <input type="checkbox"/> Indictment read in open court |
| <input type="checkbox"/> PRE-Trial Services Report ordered | <input type="checkbox"/> POST Pre-Trial Services Report ordered |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> AO199c Advice of Penalties & Sanctions filed |

REMARKS

Defendant appeared, in custody, with counsel and acknowledged to the Court that his true and correct name is JASON CARNELL O'DAFFER.

Defendant was advised of his rights and the allegations contained in the Indictment.

"Not guilty" plea entered.

Based on information provided in the Financial Affidavit, the Court appointed the Federal Defenders to represent Defendant in this matter.

Government has filed a motion for detention based on risk of flight and risk of obstruction.

Defendant waived a detention hearing at this time but reserved the right to revisit issue of detention should circumstances change.

The Court ordered:

1. Motion for detention **granted**.
2. Discovery to be provided according to Local Rules on discovery.
3. Oral order issued confirming the Government's disclosure obligations under the Due Process Protections Act and the possible consequences of violation of said order.
4. Defendant shall be detained by the U. S. Marshal until further order of the Court.

Detention Hearing:

Waived by Defendant;

USA's Motion for Detention is granted.

Subject to right to return before the Court should circumstances change.